

3/21/06

Maintain/Preserve System Goal Group
Meeting Minutes ~ (10:05am to 12:00noon)

Attendance: Diane Reamer-Evans, Marc Vondeylan, Phil Brooks, John Quinn, Gary Weinandy, Jeff Lohse, Bill Saunders, Layth Istefan, Imad Bdeiri

Imad Timekeeper, Jeff minutes

Brief review of last meeting, minutes & goal statements. Some discussion regarding current problem statements of:

- 1) 5-1 Need to maintain bicycle/pedestrian systems.
- 2) 5-2 Insufficient/problematic road maintenance and road appurtenances.
- 3) 5-3 Lack of funds to implement appropriate fixes.

These are the statements brought forth by public comment and the following were added just to cover all modes:

- 1) 5-4 Need to maintain seaport.
- 2) 5-5 Need to maintain rail.
- 3) 5-6 Need to maintain transit.
- 4) 5-7 Need to maintain airport.

It was discussed that there is no expertise on committee for other than roads and bike/ped. Phil has discussed at other committee meetings and generalized comments. It was felt that defining the condition problems, identifying the repair options, and assigning priority would be common to all modes, and perhaps we could focus on what we know and address the other modes by a general blanket statement.

Rules of Brainstorming reviewed per agenda. Took problem statement 5-3 and began brainstorming session with the following results:

PREVENTATIVE MAINTENANCE

- Agencies need P.M. system
- System has to work for that agency
- Minimize Political decisions
 - Need commitment to sound system
- Smoothness not necessarily most important
- Picking right repair options
- Appurtenance examples – lamp/light replacement, catch basins, manholes,
 - scuppers (bridge drainage)
- Underlying drainage/storm drains
- Utilities in R-O-W (investor-owned and public)
(Reg'l sharing of costs needed)
 - Systematic assessment of storm water facilities

PCR/Pavement Mgmt. System – consistent across region
– ongoing/dynamic system

POLICY STATEMENT

- Allocate funds annually
- Acceptable level of good, fair, poor condition of infrastructure to attain manageable level within respective agency funding.
- Assign priority (such as arterial, collector, local systems)
- Percent of funds in budget for maintaining, “fix what is broke”
- In past – TMACOG (86-87) effort to have regional approach to Pav’t management.
- Invite, discuss; identify techniques
- Develop system – reg’l work group
- Role of GIS? Integrate data into GIS
- Teach all jurisdictions how to implement
- Make rating system “fair” across jurisdictions (someone else does rating?)
- Training so PCR used consistently
- Team does rating?
- Id “who runs?” – who works w/twps. Etc.
- TMACOG initiative or policy recommendation

STEADY STATE

Two approaches:

- How many \$ & what will it supply; or
- % poor acceptable level – define min. goal (lane miles & bridge deck areas) per type of facility (ex- ODOT sets goals for general, urban, etc.)
- Reg’l vs. local steady state
 1. Determine existing PCR %’s (“A” – “D”)
 2. Set % goal
 3. Determine costs to meet goals
 4. allocate existing \$ based on PCR
 5. Find addt’l \$
- Determine current \$ spent on maint.?

HOW MUCH OF OUR AVAILABLE FUNDS FOR PRESERVE/MAINTAIN?

Purchasing power decreasing

Tax base revenues – decreasing?

- Consider petroleum bell curve?
- Shift to other modes?
- Decreasing revenues from decreased usage?

Push for sufficient funds for maintaining existing syst.

- Community responsibility to maintain

TIP ranking system – change to favor system preservation

Next meeting set for Tuesday, April 18, 2006 from 10 to noon at TMACOG. The goal for the meeting is to determine what is it we want to provide to the overall group in June and begin preparing that statement.